Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8 45

CIA/RR CB-60-58

Copy No.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
29 December 1960

NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

# CURRENT SUPPORT BRIEF

POLISH REGIME EXAGGERATES RESULTS OF 1960 HARVEST

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND REPORTS

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

This report represents the immediate views of the originating intelligence components of the Office of Research and Reports. Comments are solicited.

W - A - R - N - I - N - G

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States, within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18 USC, Sections 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

#### Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8 **SECRET**

#### NOFORN/CONTINUED CONTROL

## POLISH REGIME EXAGGERATES RESULTS OF 1960 HARVEST

Polish Communist Party officials appear determined to insist that the 1960 crop was good, in spite of strong evidence to the contrary. Since June, reports by the regime have become progressively more optimistic about the average yields of grain, potatoes, and sugar beets, until the yields now represent postwar highs. The glowing reports on the results of the 1960 harvest may be intended to mitigate criticism from "socialist" neighbors concerning lagging collectivization, and thus permit the regime to continue a policy of increasing production first, and collectivizing agriculture later. Another reason, of lesser importance, could be an attempt to convince the peasants that it will not pay to hold off selling grain and potatoes to the state—sales of both were lagging in October.

Polish announcements on the average yield of the four major grains (wheat, rye, barley, and oats) through June indicated that the grain harvest would be much less than the excellent 1959 crop. Beginning in July, however, Polish statements became progressively more optimistic and, by September, had edged the yield up to 15.6 quintals per hectare, as compared to the record yield of 15.7 quintals per hectare in 1959. Finally, a report on 1960 agricultural production, released by Trybuna Ludu on 20 November, claimed the average yield was 16.1 quintals per hectare. 1/ Even greater success was claimed by the Minister of Agriculture, Mieczyslaw Jagielski, who reported in November that the average yield was between 16.4 and 16.6 quintals per hectare. 2/ Similarly, in November the estimates of yields of potatoes and sugar beets were increased over earlier estimates and now represent postwar highs.

Except for sugar beets, available evidence does not support the Polish claims, and yields are estimated to be less than in 1959. A comparison of the 1959 yield data with the 1960 estimates is as follows, in quintals per hectare:

	3 /	Polish For	$\frac{\text{Claims}^4}{1960}$	<b>Es</b> timate
	19593/	Sept	Nov	For 1960
Four grains Potatoes	15.7 128	15.6 125.0	16.1 131.0	14.3 119.0
Sugar beets	159	233.0	250.0	233.0

The total production of the four major grains in 1960, based upon the latest Polish yield and acreage data, is approximately 14.3 million tons, compared with 14.1 million tons in the record year of 1959. However, the US agricultural officer in Warsaw has reported that conversations with local government agriculturists and with farmers, as well as his own observations, indicate a grain harvest less than in 1959. On the basis of all available evidence, the production of grain in 1960 is estimated at 13 million tons, or 1.3 million tons less than the Polish estimate.

29 December 1960

CIA/RR CB-60-58

Page 2

#### Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8 **SECRET**

It is likely that the Polish regime has intentionally exaggerated the level of the 1960 harvest and overall agricultural production, at least partially for the purpose of defending Gomulka's agricultural policy before the rest of the Bloc. Gomulka has been walking a tight rope for 4 years by not pushing the socialization of agriculture, and criticism of his failure to do so has been mounting from other Bloc countries, including China. 5/ Khrushchev apparently has supported Gomulka's agricultural policy as long as Poland could show gains in agricultural production. 6/ Probably Khrushchev has been willing to concede some shortcomings in Polish agricultural policy in return for political support, but he has indicated that Poland should show more progress in socializing agriculture. Gomulka's position was undoubtedly weakened last year when production declined, but a compensatory factor was a simultaneous decline in agricultural production in East Germany and Czechoslovakia -- both countries have been very critical of Polish policy. Announcement of a decline, or no increase, in agricultural production for the second consecutive year, however, could expose Gomulka's agricultural policy to more intense criticism, especially since Czechoslovakia and East Germany, which pushed collectivization in 1960, have announced sizeable increases in agricultural production for 1960.

Polish collectivization policy will probably come under fire again at the annual meeting in December of the CEMA subcommittee on agriculture, 7/ and at a possible meeting in Moscow of Bloc agricultural representatives in February or March, 1961. All evidence seems to indicate that the Polish regime during the next five years intends to raise agricultural production before pushing collectivization. Therefore, it appears likely that Gomulka, anticipating criticism at the recent Communist "summit" meeting as well as at the CEMA meeting and the forthcoming meeting of agricultural representatives, has decided to play the same game that some other Bloc regimes do--pad agricultural statistics by reporting biological\* rather than barn yields. By providing statistical evidence that Polish policy is paying off, he may hope to mitigate Bloc pressure to step-up the socialization of agriculture--a change in policy which Polish agriculturists claim would result in a sharp decline in agricultural production. Such a move could effectively reduce criticism, especially at a time when the USSR is having difficulties in agriculture.

29 December 1960

CIA/RR CB-60-58

Page 3

Losses, such as those in harvesting, threshing, and transportation, are not deducted.

# Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8 **SECRET**

Analyst:
Coord:
Sources:

STATSPEC 1.

25X1A 2.
25X1A 2.
3.
4.
Concise Statistical Yearbook of Poland, 1960. U.
State, Warsaw. Dsp 258, 2 Dec 60. OFF USE.
Concise Statistical Yearbook of Poland, 1960. U.
State, Warsaw. Dsp 258, 2 Dec 60. OFF USE.
7.

STATSPEC

25X1A

29 December 1960

CIA/RR CB-60-58

Page 4

Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : \$16840 P79T01003A000900580001-8

9 January 1961

MEMORANDUM F	OR:	25X1A	
ATTENTION	:		
FROM	: Chief, Publications	Staff, ORR	25X1C
SUBJECT	: Release of Informat	ion	
25X1C			_
			25X1C
Harvest, 29 Decembe examined to de release of this information 25X1A  2. If this ography before the	mber 1960, SECRET/NOF termine whether or not the formation is approved this source will be report is forwarded to the 25X1A	ORN/CONTINUE ere is any object for release e deleted from the	25X1C
Attachments: Copies 44 & 45	of CB 60-58		25X1A
Approved. This is id	dentical with the clearance	e given yesterday NT/RC - 10 Januar	

### Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8

Chief, Current Support Staff, ORR

20 December 1960

THRU : Chief, Materials Division, ORR

Chief, Food and Agriculture Branch, D/M

Proposed Current Support Bulletin.

- 1. Attached for your approval as a Current Support Bulletin is an intelligence article entitled "Polish Regime Exaggerates Results of 1960 Harvest."
- 2. This article is believed to be of interest to both political and economic analysts working on Poland within the intelligence community.

STATINTL

STATINTL

3. The article has been informally coordinated with OCI and of your staff.

4. The analyst responsible for the article is STATINTL ext. 3800.

STATINTL

STATINTL

Attachment:

Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A00090058&00 Chief, Dissemination Branch, Support Staff, OCI TO: Chief, Current Support Staff, ORR FROM: Distribution of Current Support EXACCEPATES PRESULTS OF 1960 HARVEST Please distribute the attached Current Support Memorandum No. as follows: Recipient Copy No. Recipient Copy No. 1 -#DD/I - NIC D-I-S-T-R-I-B-U-T-E-D - OCI Internal 4-11NSA, 12-18 19-25 St/CS/ORR Ch/D/S/ORR 26 - AD/ORR S/TD/ORR 75 DAD ZORR S/ED/ORR 76 S/TF/ORR - Ch/E $\sqrt{OR}$ R 28 S/TF-SP/ORR -\_St/PBZCZQRR\_ st/P/C/ORR **29-46** (via S/TF/ORR) 47 - St/PR/ORR - S/TR/ORR **78**  $- Ch/D/A\overline{/OR}R$ 48- S/COM<del>/ORR</del> → - → 5 79 - A/U/ORR 49-50 - S/CST/ORR 11-43,45 80  $- A/F/\overline{ORR}$ 51 - D/GG/ORR 81 - 82 $\sim A/E/\overline{ORR}$ 52 - D/GG/N/ORR 83 = A/PC $\overline{ORR}$ 53 25X1A 54 - Ch/D/M/ORR212, Bldg. -Record (enter84-88 - M/AG/ORR - Ch/St/I/ORR - M/CH/ORR 25X1A 56 - st/I/R/ORR→ M/FM/ORR 57 St/I/P/ORR 87-St/I/I/ORI - M/NF/ORR 58 - EIC/S/ORR - M/FP/ORR 59-61 CCS/PIC 93 62 - 63- Ch/D/I/ORRAttn. - I/GM/ORR 64 - 6765-T/D 514 Steuart Bldg. – I/ME/ORR 68 66-T/I – EE/ONE 94 69 - I/MS/ORR 67-T/C 95 NED/OSI - I/PE/<del>ORR</del> 70 25X1A IPS/CSS/OSI 96 I/AR/ORR 97 I/SH/ORR\_I/ASA 98 – I/EE/ORR 25X1A 25X1A 225/ 2709 L Bldg. Additional Distribution: 27 OCR Documents Division tandard Distribution Desk (Analyst/Branch) 25X1A Distributed: 25X1A SECRE

2000/05/12: CIA-RDB79T01003A000900

## SECRET

# Approved For Release 2000/05/12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8

29 December 1960

(Date)

TO:

Chief, Dissemination Branch, Support Staff, OCI

FROM:

Chief, Current Support Staff, ORR

SUBJECT: Distribution of Current Support Brief No. CIA/RR CB-60-58

#### Polish regime exaggerates results of 1960 harvest 29 December 1960

Please distribute the attached Current Support Brief No. CIA/RR CB-30-5 as follows:

Copy No.		Recipient	
1		$\frac{DD/I}{}$	5X1A
2- 3		NIC	<b>5</b> /(1/(
4		AD/OCI (via	
5	•	CA/SSB/OCI	
6		PRES/OCI	
7		CS/ECON/OCI	
8		NSAL	
9		INDICO/OCI	
10		/OCI	
11		/oci	
12-18		Director NSA Washington 25, D. C. Attention:	25X1A
19-25		St/CS/ORR	
26 <b>-99</b>		Chief, St/I/D/ORR 1338 M Building	25X1A
~ N	<i>i. i.</i>	Chief, Current Support	
<i>F</i> \ \ \	1/1/		Co Soit

25X1A

Distributed:

25X1A

RR/St/CS:

:rm/4555 (6 October 60)

Approved For Rel 12 : CIA-RDP79T01003A000900580001-8 SECRET

	ROUTII	NG AND	RECO	RD SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
CSB DRAFT: POL	ISH REGT	WE EVA	CED Ams	and the said and
FROM:	SB DRAFT: POLISH REGIME EXAGGERATE			NO. NO.
Cb/St/CS				DATE
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	d	DATE		21 December 1960
25X1A		FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from to whom. Draw a line across column after each coin
1. Monitor	22 Dec			
	12700	12000	Com	INDICATE WHETHER THERE WI
2. Typist		27 Dac	W.	
				Note
3. Proofers	27,0%	- 28De	Km	A request to have fre
			Ew.	A request to have the control restriction on Source # 6 has been made by EL on 27 Dec.
4. Monitor	■ 28/Re	2800	em	atrol restriction Source
4. Monitor				# 6 hAs been made of
	281	Dec	EWZ	ELon 27 Dec.
5. Author	258		0	
	MAG	284	K21	O·K
6. Ch/St/CS		25		
Control of the Contro	28 Dec	28 Dec	7/2	A/E
7. Ch/ERA		59		
	~8		+	
8. Ch/St/CS	ber	sec.		25X1A
	21	29		
9. OCI Printers	R	R		
10.				
				N. C.
		·		
2.				
<b>3.</b> (1986) 1994				
5				
요즘 물리는 이 경우는 사이지를 통해야.				

